

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/692,123	10/20/2000		Paul R. Lesch JR.	8066-057	6851	
28765	7590	06/27/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WINSTON			KOHARSKI, CHRISTOPHER			
1700 K STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20006				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			3763		

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/692,123	LESCH, PAUL R.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Christopher D. Koharski	3763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	nears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the specified period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 M							
,	<ul> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is</li> </ul>						
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E							
·	_л parto waayie, 1900 G.D. 11, 4t						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-13 and 15-30</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-7, 9-13 and 15-30</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement						
are subject to restriction and/o	. s.co						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul><li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li><li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li></ul>	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea		v4					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	su.					
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Description Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)						
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/692,123

Art Unit: 3763

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

Examiner acknowledges amendments to the specification, drawings, and amended claim 5. Currently claims 1-7, 9-13 and 15-30 are pending for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 9-10, 12-13, 16-20, 22-28, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(b) as being anticipated by Wardlaw (4,258,713). Wardlaw discloses an automatic hypodermic syringe.

Regarding claim 1, 17, 18 and 30, Schluter et al. discloses a *jet injector* for *highspeed* injection (see abstract) of a medicament with a cylindrical tube, a first and second stopper, wherein the medicament is between the stoppers, and once triggered the first stopper pushes the second stopper through a needle creating a fluid path for insertion into the body (Figures 1, 5A).

Regarding claims 2-6, 9-10, 12-13, and 16, Schluter et al. discloses that the movement of the stoppers compresses the medicament through the needle and second piercable stopper (Figures 5A-5B). The needle has a bevel of the piercing end and injection end, with the injection end extending beyond the end of the tube (Figure 5A).

Application/Control Number: 09/692,123

Art Unit: 3763

The lumen of the tube is cylindrical and configured to fire via an injector system to expel the medicament (Figure 1).

Regarding claims 19-20, 22-28, Schluter et al. discloses an injection system in that the movement of the stoppers compresses the medicament through the needle and second piercable stopper (Figures 5A-5B). The needle has a bevel of the piercing end and injection end, with the injection end extending beyond the end of the tube (Figure 5A).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Regarding claim 1, 17, 18, and 30, Wardlaw et al. discloses an injector (see abstract) of a medicament with a cylindrical tube, a first and second stopper, wherein the medicament is between the stoppers, and once triggered the first stopper pushes the second stopper through a needle creating a fluid path for insertion into the body (Figure 1).

Regarding claims 2-6, 9-10, 12-13, and 16, Wardlaw et al. discloses that the movement of the stoppers compresses the medicament through the needle and second piercable stopper (Figure 1). The needle has a bevel of the piercing end and injection end, with the injection end extending beyond the end of the tube (Figure 1). The lumen of the tube is cylindrical and configured to fire via an injector system to expel the medicament (Figure 1).

Regarding claims 19-20, 22-28, Wardlaw et al. discloses an injection system in that the movement of the stoppers (second stopper with an are that is designed for needle piercing) compresses the medicament through the needle and second piercable Application/Control Number: 09/692,123

Art Unit: 3763

stopper (Figure 1). The needle has a bevel of the piercing end and injection end, with the injection end extending beyond the end of the tube (Figure 1).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 7, 11, 15, 21, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schluter et al. in view of Tanaka et al. (5,865,799). Schluter et al. meets the claim limitations as described above but does not include a third stopper, with a recess, and mixing of insoluble particles.

However, Tanaka et al. teaches a pre-filled syringe. Regarding claim 7, 11, 15 21 and 29, Tanaka et al. discloses a third stopper, and an enlarged portion, that is used to mix particles prior to injection (Figures 1 and 5).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to use the stopper system of Tanaka et al. with the system of Schluter et al. because the addition of a third

Art Unit: 3763

stopper and enlarged portion allows for different drug combination doses to be injected.

Both references are analogous in the art and with the instant invention; therefore, a combination is proper. Therefore, one skilled in the art would have combined the teachings in the references in light of the disclosure of Tanaka et al.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 5/30/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-7, 9-13 and 15-30 under Malay et al. (5,415,648) have been fully considered and are persuasive. However Applicant is reminded of the Webster's definition of jet "...A: high-velocity fluid stream forced under pressure out of a small-diameter opening or nozzle; B: An outlet, such as a nozzle, used for emitting such a stream, C: To propel outward or squirt, as under pressure..." and injector "...to introduce (a drug or vaccine, for example) into a body part, especially by means of a syringe..." As currently claimed Applicant's "jet injector" is capable of being met by any standard hypodermic syringe, which is capable of meeting the definition above and will be interpreted as such (see above rejection). The current rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new grounds of rejection is made in view of Schluter et al., Tanaka et al., Wardlaw.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher D. Koharski whose telephone number is 571-272-7230. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30am-4:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/692,123 Page 6

Art Unit: 3763

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

[Date]

Christopher Koharski Examiner

Art Unit 3763

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERVISURY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700